ERC Proof of Concept Grant 2015

 Part B

***Please respect the following formatting constraints: Times New Roman, Arial or similar, at least font size 11, margins (2.0 cm side and 1.5 cm top and bottom), single line spacing.***

**Section 1: The idea - Innovation potential (max. 2 pages)**

**a. Succinct description of the idea to be taken to proof of concept**

**b. Demonstration of Innovation Potential**

**Section 2 – Expected Impact (max. 2 pages):**

**a. Economic and/or societal benefits**

**b. Commercialisation process and/or any other exploitation process**

**c. Proposed plans for :**

**- Competitive analysis**

**- Testing, technical *reports (where applicable)***

**- IPR position and strategy *(where applicable)***

**- Industry/sector contacts *(where applicable)***

**Section 3: The proof of concept plan (max 2 pages)**

**a. Plan of the activities**

**b. Project-management plan**

**c. Description of the team**

**Section 4: *The budget*****(max 1 page + costing table)**

**a. Resources (incl. project costs)**

(Note: To facilitate the assessment of resources by the panels, the use of the following budget table is strongly suggested. All eligible costs requested, should be included in the budget. **Please use whole Euro values only**.)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Cost Category** | **Total in Euro**  |
| **Direct Costs[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Personnel** | PI[[2]](#footnote-2) |  |
| Senior Staff |   |
| Postdocs |   |
| Students |   |
| Other  |   |
| *i. Total Direct costs for Personnel (in Euro)* |   |
| **Travel**  |   |
| **Equipment** |   |
| **Other goods and services** | Consumables |   |
| Publications (including Open Access fees), etc. |  |
| Other (please specify) |   |
| *ii. Total Other Direct Costs (in Euro)* |   |
| **A – Total Direct Costs (i + ii) (in Euro)** |  |
| **B – Indirect Costs (overheads)** 25% of Direct Costs[[3]](#footnote-3) (**in Euro)** |   |
| **C1 – Subcontracting Costs** (no overheads) (**in Euro)** |  |
| **C2 – Other Direct Costs with no overheads[[4]](#footnote-4)** **(in Euro)** |   |
| **Total Estimated Eligible Costs (A + B + C) (in Euro)[[5]](#footnote-5)** |  |
| **Total Requested EU Contribution (in Euro)5** |  |

The project cost estimation should be as accurate as possible. Significant mathematical mistakes may reflect poorly on the credibility of the costing table and the proposal overall.

The evaluation panels assess the estimated costs carefully. The requested contribution should be in proportion to the actual needs to fulfil the objectives of the project.

**b. Justification (description of the budget)**

1. An additional cost category 'Direct costing for Large Research Infrastructures' applicable to H2020 can be added to this table for PIs who are hosted by institutions with Large Research Infrastructures of a value of at least EUR 20 million and **only** after having received a positive ex-ante assessment from the Commission's services (see ‘*Information for Applicants to the Proof of Concept Grant 2015 Call’* for more details). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. 2 When calculating the salary, please take into account the percentage of your dedicated working time to run the ERC funded project. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Please note that the overheads are fixed to a flat rate of exactly 25%. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Such as the costs of resources made available by third parties which are not used on the premises of the beneficiary (see ‘*Information for Applicants to the Proof of Concept Grant 2015 Call’* for details). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. 5 These figures MUST match those presented in the online proposal submission form, section 3 – Budget. In case of discrepancy, the budget from the submission forms section 3 will prevail. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)